Sri VEERENDRA PATIL.—The total property stolen is to be calculated. These are the details of stolen property: silver ornaments and cash Rs. 42.06; another dacoity: Rs. 5,280; another one: Rs. 57·50 and another one: Rs. 1,355.

Sri V. S. PATIL.—Of the four which have been detected? Has Government launched any case against any of these dacoits?

Sri VEERENDRA PATIL.—Three cases were charge-sheeted and one is still under investigation.

Sri V. S. PATIL.—It is stated in answer to (*e*) that in the month of August 1957 no cases of dacoity were reported. But the answer to this Question was given on 2nd January 1958. May I know whether there were any cases of dacoity reported in the period between the two dates?

Sri VEERENDRA PATIL.—I am not in possession of that information.

Sri G. N. PUTTANNA.—Out of seven cases only four have been detected and of these four, one is still under investigation and in the case of three, charge sheets were placed. What about the fate of the other three cases?

Sri VEERENDRA PATIL.—They were undetected.

Sri G. N. PUTTANNA.—What is the result in the case of the three cases where charge-sheets were placed?

Sri VEERENDRA PATIL.—Of the three cases charge-sheeted, one ended in conviction, one in acquittal and the other case is still pending.

Sri G. N. PUTTANNA.—What about the accused? Are they inter-State K.Ds. or local K.Ds.?

Sri VEERENDRA PATIL.—Out of the 43 accused, only one belongs to the Bombay State, the rest belong to our State.

Sri G. N. PUTTANNA.—Are they ex-convicts?

Sri VEERENDRA PATIL.—I have not got that information.

Sri C. K. RAJAIAH SETTY.—In answer to (*b*) it is stated that the number of these offences was also increasing during the current year. How many are reported in the current year?

Sri VEERENDRA PATIL.—That information is not available.

Sri C. K. RAJAIAH SETTY.—It is stated that the offences were on the increase. Then the Special Squad appointed has failed to check it.

Sri VEERENDRA PATIL.—This Special Police party was appointed in July 1957 and discontinued by the end of September. They were functioning for only two months in order to detect these 7 cases.

Sri V. S. PATIL.—What was the strength of the Police Squad and what is the actual expenditure incurred on that?

Sri VEERENDRA PATIL.—About the expenditure it is already answered. About the strength, one Sub-Inspector, 4 Head Constables and six Constables.

Sri V. S. PATIL.—Has no extra expenditure been incurred on the squad?

Sri VEERENDRA PATIL.—No. The squad was drawn from existing strength of the district.

Failure to take Financial Assistance from the Central Government to Improve the Salaries of the Primary School Teachers.

Q.—1691. Sri K. MALLAPPA (Mercara).—

Will the Government be pleased to state:—

(*a*) whether it has come to their notice that a statement was made in the Lok Sabha on 26th July 1957 by Dr. K. L. Shrimali, Minister in the Ministry of Education, to the effect that the Government of Mysore failed to take advantage of financial assistance to the extent of 50 per cent of the increased expenditure for improving the salaries of Primary School Teachers during 1956-57;

(*b*) if so, the reasons for the failure to take advantage of the financial assistance thus offered?

A.—Sri V. VENKATAPPA (Minister for Education).—

(*a*) No.

(*b*) Does not arise in view of reply to clause (*a*).

Sri K. MALLAPPA.—May I know whether the Government have referred to the proceedings of the Lok Sabha ?

Sri V. VENKATAPPA.—No.

Sri K. MALLAPPA.—May I know whether any assistance was offered by the Government of India of late ?

Sri V. VENKATAPPA.—We have asked for assistance; we have taken advantage of it.

1-30 P.M.

Sri K. MALLAPPA.—Will the Government make a reference to the Government of India why such a statement was made on the floor of the Lok Sabha ?

Sri V. VENKATAPPA.—It is a suggestion for action.

Sri Y. MANJAYA SHETTY.—May I know what is the financial assistance given by the Government of India in 1956-57 for improving the salaries of primary school teachers ?

ಶ್ರೀ ವಿ. ವೆಂಕಟಪ್ಪ.—1956-57ರಲ್ಲಿ, ನಮಗಾರು ಇಪ್ಪತ್ತೆರಡು ಲಕ್ಷರೂಪಾಯಿ ವೆಚ್ಚವಾಗಬಹುದು. ಅದರಲ್ಲಿ ಶೇಕಡ 50 ಭಾಗದಷ್ಟು ಹಣವನ್ನು ಕೇಂದ್ರ ಸರ್ಕಾರದವರು ಕೊಡುತ್ತೇವೆ ಎಂದು ಹೇಳಿದ್ದಾರೆ. ನಾವೂ ಅವರನ್ನು ಕೇಳಿದ್ದೇವೆ.

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಸ್. ಆರ್. ರಾಮಯ್ಯ.—ಎಷ್ಟು ಉಪಾಧ್ಯಾಯರಿಗೆ ಅನುಕೂಲವಾಗಿದೆ ?

ಶ್ರೀ ವಿ. ವೆಂಕಟಪ್ಪ.—ಎಲ್ಲಾ ಉಪಾಧ್ಯಾಯರಿಗೂ.

ಶ್ರೀ ಕೆ. ಎಸ್. ನೂರ್ಯನಾರಾಯಣರಾವ್.—ಲೋಕಸಭೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಶ್ರೀಮಾಲಿಯವರ ಹೇಳಿಕೆ ಕೊಡುವಾಗ, ಈ ಸಂಸ್ಥಾನಕ್ಕೆ ಸಂಬಂಧಪಟ್ಟಂತೆ, ನಮ್ಮ ಸಂಸ್ಥಾನದ ಸರ್ಕಾರದವರು ಕೇಂದ್ರ ಸರ್ಕಾರಕ್ಕೆ ಉತ್ತರ ಕಳುಹಿಸಿರುವುದಿಲ್ಲವೇ ?

ಶ್ರೀ ವಿ. ವೆಂಕಟಪ್ಪ.—ಈ ಹೇಳಿಕೆ ಕೊಟ್ಟಾಗ, ಕೇಂದ್ರ ಸರ್ಕಾರದವರು ಪ್ರಶ್ನೆ ಕಳುಹಿಸಿ ನಾವು ಉತ್ತರ ಕಳುಹಿಸಿರಲಿಲ್ಲ. ಬಹುಶಃ ಯಾವ ತಾರೀಖಿನಲ್ಲಿ ಎನ್ನುವುದು ಗೊತ್ತಿಲ್ಲ. ತಾರೀಖು 1ನೆಯ ಜನವರಿ 1957ಕ್ಕೆ ಮುಂಚೆ ಆಗಿದ್ದರೆ ತಾವು ಹೇಳುತ್ತಿರುವುದು ನಿಜವಿರಬಹುದು, ಏಕೆಂದರೆ ಆವಾಗ ನಾವು ಪೇ ಸ್ಕೇಲ್ಸ್ ಹೆಚ್ಚಿಸಬೇಕೆಂದು ಇರಲಿಲ್ಲ.

Sri J. B. MALLARADHYA.—As a result of the financial assistance the Government of Mysore gets, what is the relief given to the primary school teachers during the year 1957-58 ?

Sri V. VENKATAPPA.—You know the pay scales that we have given.

Sri J. B. MALLARADHYA.—What was the existing scale and what was the improvement on the scales as a result of the financial assistance secured?

ಶ್ರೀ ವಿ. ವೆಂಕಟಪ್ಪ.—ಎಷ್ಟು ಜಾಸ್ತಿಕೊಟ್ಟರೆ ಅಷ್ಟನ್ನು ಉಪಯೋಗಿಸಿಕೊಳ್ಳುವುದಕ್ಕೆ ಸಿದ್ಧರಿದ್ದೇವೆ. ಈ ಶಾಲೆಗಳ ಉಪಾಧ್ಯಾಯರ ವೇತನವನ್ನು ಹೆಚ್ಚಿಸುವುದರಿಂದ 22 ಲಕ್ಷ ರೂಪಾಯಿ ಹೆಚ್ಚಿನ ವೆಚ್ಚವಾಗುವುದೆಂದು ಅಂದಾಜು ಮಾಡಲಾಗಿದೆ. ನಿಖರವಾಗಿ ಇಷ್ಟೇ ಎಂದು ಹೇಳಲಾಗುವುದಿಲ್ಲ.

ಶ್ರೀ ಕೆ. ಎಫ್. ಪಾಟೀಲ್.—ಕೇಂದ್ರ ಸರ್ಕಾರದವರು ಶೇಕಡ 50 ಭಾಗದಷ್ಟು ಹಣವನ್ನು ಸಹಾಯ ಮಾಡುತ್ತೇವೆಂದು ಹೇಳಿದ್ದರೂ ಮೈಸೂರು ಸರ್ಕಾರದವರು ಮೂರು ಲಕ್ಷ ರೂಪಾಯಿಗಳನ್ನು ಬಿಟ್ಟು ಉಳಿದುದನ್ನು ಉಪಯೋಗಿಸಿಕೊಳ್ಳದೆ ಹಾಗೆಯೇ ಉಳಿಸಿದ್ದಾರೆ ಎಂದು ಶ್ರೀಮಾಲಿಯವರು ಒಂದು ನರ್ಯ್ಯಲರ್ ಜನತೆಗೆ ಹಂಚಿದ್ದಾರೆ ಎಂಬುದು ಸರ್ಕಾರದ ಗಮನಕ್ಕೆ ಬಂದಿದೆಯೇ ?

ಶ್ರೀ ವಿ. ವೆಂಕಟಪ್ಪ.—ಇಲ್ಲ.

ಶ್ರೀ ಕೆ. ಮಲ್ಲಪ್ಪ.—ಎಷ್ಟು ಹಣವನ್ನು ಉಪಯೋಗಿಸಿ ಕೊಂಡಿದ್ದೀರಿ ?

ಶ್ರೀ ವಿ. ವೆಂಕಟಪ್ಪ.—ಇಷ್ಟು ಎಂದು ಹೇಳುವುದಕ್ಕೆ ಆಗುವುದಿಲ್ಲ. ಪ್ರಾಥಮಿಕಶಾಲೆಗಳ ಉಪಾಧ್ಯಾಯರಿಗೋಸ್ಕರವೆಂದು 40 ಲಕ್ಷ ರೂಪಾಯಿ ಬಂದಿದೆ. ಅದರಲ್ಲಿ ಎಷ್ಟು ಉಪಯೋಗಿಸಿದೆ ಎಂದು ಹೇಳುವುದಕ್ಕೆ ಲೆಕ್ಕ ಬಂದಿಲ್ಲ.

Supply of Record of Rights in time to Applicants

Q.—1716. Sri C. S. HULKOTI (Mundargi).—

Will the Government be pleased to state :—

(*a*) whether they are aware that the record of rights are not made available in time to the applicants ;

(*b*) whether it has come to their notice that the rule of supply of record of riglts within ten days from the date of application not being followed ;

(*c*) whether they propose to take steps to expedite the supply of record of rights within the time limit fixed by the Government ?

A.—Sri M. P. PATIL (Minister for Revenue).—

(*a*) Under Rule 137 (3) of the Land Revenue Rules as amended by Government, R. D. Notification No. LRR. 1053, dated 31st August, 1953 talathis are required to supply extracts from the Record of Rights to the applicants within seven days from the